

THE DEMOCRAT.

HARNEY & HUGHES.
Office on Third street, East side, between
Market and Jefferson.

For Carriers are accustomed to supply
the Daily Democrat at 10 cents per copy
weekly to the Clergy, or possibly
quarterly in advance at the office. All sub-
scribers by the year can avail themselves of
this privilege.

Democratic Ticket, 1852.

FOR PRESIDENT,
FRANKLIN PIERCE, of N. Hampshire.

FOR VICE-PRESIDENT,
WM. R. KING, of Alabama.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
JOHN W. STEVENSON, of Keokuk.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
BRYAN L. CLARK, of Clinton.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. McCARTHY, of Des Moines.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. P. BAKER, of Burlington.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

FOR SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF IOWA,
J. M. FOSTER, of Iowa City.

of the tertium quid party of that day, and his
political enemy? Again, would Mr. Madison have
wounded the pride of the soldier and the claims
of the statesman then presented in the city of
Andrew Jackson? In 1815 Jackson was the hero
of the war. The victory of New Orleans was
fresh in all hearts, and his General was the argu-
ment of all tongues. Would Madison have been
a great party in this country, is ominous of
evil and only evil, and any party that submits
to it, deserves to be repudiated and disowned
by every lover of the Union.

Speaking of the Missouri question in 1820,
Mr. Jefferson said: "It aroused and flamed me
with alarm. The old animosity of federal and
republican threatened because it ex-
isted in every State, and united them together
in the fratricide of party. But the col-
lusion of a geographical line, once conceived,
as with a map, principle, moral and polit-
ical, would never more be obliterated from
the mind; that would be the ruin of every
occasion, and teaching an initiation until it
would kindle such a mutual and mortal hatred
as to render a separation preferable to eternal
discord." We would call all honest and hon-
orable whigs to look at the late acts and the
present aspect of their party, all disappointed
and exasperated on the slavery question, and then
ask themselves whether they can in honor, as-
sociate with such a party?

In a letter dated September 20, 1848, Mr.
Clay said that the Philadelphia convention that
nominated Gen. Taylor, "humiliated itself, and
as far as it could, placed the whig party in a
degraded condition." It did so, he tells us, by
nominating for the Presidency a man, who,
though professing to be a whig, "was involved
in the drapery of qualifications and condi-
tions, that it was extremely difficult to dis-
cern his real politics." Of General Taylor, he
spoke as follows: "Exclusively a military man,
without the least experience in civil affairs;
bred up and always living in the camp, with
his sword by his side and his cap on his head,
it is proposed to transfer him from the
actual position of second in command of
the army, to the Chief Magistracy of this great
and model republic. If I cannot come out in
the active support of such a candidate, I hope
those who know any thing of my opinions, dis-
tinctly and loudly, will express their dissent
to his nomination."

At the close of an article in Thursday's
paper, we said that Mr. Clay clearly saw, in
1850, that the whig party was about to be aban-
doned, and that he sounded the alarm like an
honest man, and a sincere friend to the
South and to the Union. We gave an extract
from his speech in Frankfort, in 1850, in which
he avowed his determination to quit the whig
party if the event anticipated should happen.
It did happen. The whig party was, in effect,
abandoned. For, in due time, Seward and
his higher law faction in the States of New
York, Pennsylvania, and Ohio, brought forward
Gen. Scott, as their candidate for the Presi-
dency, in opposition to Fillmore and Webster,
who, in consequence of their devotion to the
Compromise and the Union, are looked to as
candidates for that office by the whole whig
party South, and by all the Union whigs of the
North.

About this time, Gen. Scott was written to
for his opinions upon the great questions pend-
ing before the country, but he declined giving
any, for fear he said, of "disgusting the public
by mere party professions."

This was indeed a trying time. The compro-
mise was undying an ordeal before the Ameri-
can people, and it was expected and demand-
ed that its friends every where should speak out
in plain and decided terms. Mr. Fillmore and
Mr. Webster, Gen. Scott's competitors for the
whig nomination, were, by both word and act,
committed in favor of the compromise in all
its parts, and the abolitionists were down upon
them. Gen. Scott would not hazard the loss of
his friendship and support by speaking out
on the fugitive slave bill. Things were in this
state when the whig convention met at
Baltimore on the 16th of June. Then the
whole of the anti-compromise whigs of the
North, making a great majority of the Northern
whigs, were seen voting, day after day, in solid
phalanx, for Scott; while every Southern whig
and every Union whig from the North, voted
through fifty three consecutive ballottings, for
either Fillmore or Webster; until, at length,
a few of the Southern delegates, proving false
to their principle, to their section, and to the
Union, went over the Sewardites and gave
Scott the nomination upon the assurance, as
they pretended, that the General, after being
nominated, would write a letter and come out
fully and unequivocally on the compromise.

That letter has never been written by General
Scott, and no one expects it ever will be. The
convention upon its nomination, adopted
resolutions approving the compromise as a
settlement of the slavery question. But Gen-
eral Scott, in accepting the nomination, takes
special care not to approve the platform. He
says, "I accept the nomination with the resolu-
tions annexed." Not a word of approval is
about approving the platform. Pierce, King,
and Graham were all particular to use the word
"approve," while Gen. Scott avoided it. His
accepting the nomination with the resolutions
annexed, simply meant, that he takes it with
the compromise, as a man would take a piece
of land under mortgage. He took the nomina-
tion with the resolutions annexed, because he
could not get it without. Does any one doubt
this? Look at the course of his chief support-
ers. They say they despise and spit upon the
platform. They have got the nomination, and
they are nothing for the platform. It was, at
best, but a contrivance to gain and deceive the
Southern delegates.

The Sewardites claim an anti-slavery triumph
in the nomination of Gen. Scott. They say
their purpose to repeal the fugitive slave law,
and while they say (see Theodore Stephen's
speech) that the friends of the fugitive bill
"can rely most implicitly upon General Pierce"
for the exercise of the veto in favor of main-
taining the fugitive slave law, they say that the
South "cannot rely upon General Scott." They
tell us that "the present position of General
Scott is antagonistic to the further extension
of slavery," and, on the other hand, that "a
lot of Pierce's votes in Congress, and all his pub-
lic acts every where proclaim him the champion
of slavery." Now what does all this amount
to? If, in the absence of any thing, even a
single word from Gen. Scott's pen, approving the
fugitive slave law or the compromise, we look
at the views, the opinions and the purposes of
those who nominated him, and who are now
his most zealous supporters, if there is truth in
the edge, "show us his company and we will
tell you the man," then General Scott sym-
patizes with the anti-slavery party of the United
States; is their candidate for the Presidency;
and is, and will be, subservient to their designs,
and ought not, therefore, to receive the vote of
any democrat, nor that of a single pro-slavery
or Union whig.

A considerable portion of the latter may vote
for him through ignorance of his political opin-
ions and associations, or from that old and ac-
tivated hostility to the democrats. But there are
not a few among the reading, reflecting, and
truly independent portion of the old whig party,
who will do, as there can be no doubt Mr.
Clay would have done had he lived; that is,
not only withhold their support from Gen.
Scott, but give both their votes and their influ-
ence to Pierce—a man wholly unconnected with
himself and feelings, and who has never bowed
the knee to the Basil of abolition.

We repeat it, the whig party is no more.
There was such a party once. It was a nation-
al party, and as such, it was considered a re-
spectable party. But now it has ceased to be
a national organization, and has degenerated
"into a contemptible abolition party." It has
lost its character, and it should change its name.

What but the abolishing of the party, could
drive off from it this fast whig as Clay,
Webster, Choate, Gentry, Williams, Toombs,
Stephens, of Georgia; Cabell, Faulkner, Jen-
sen, of Ohio; Outlaw, Marshall, Lyon, and
hundreds of others, who were once leaders of
greater or less distinction, and who have been
known before, of a great party, and who
seemingly in convention and those from the free
States uniting and consulting apart, and in se-

of the tertium quid party of that day, and his
political enemy? Again, would Mr. Madison have
wounded the pride of the soldier and the claims
of the statesman then presented in the city of
Andrew Jackson? In 1815 Jackson was the hero
of the war. The victory of New Orleans was
fresh in all hearts, and his General was the argu-
ment of all tongues. Would Madison have been
a great party in this country, is ominous of
evil and only evil, and any party that submits
to it, deserves to be repudiated and disowned
by every lover of the Union.

Speaking of the Missouri question in 1820,
Mr. Jefferson said: "It aroused and flamed me
with alarm. The old animosity of federal and
republican threatened because it ex-
isted in every State, and united them together
in the fratricide of party. But the col-
lusion of a geographical line, once conceived,
as with a map, principle, moral and polit-
ical, would never more be obliterated from
the mind; that would be the ruin of every
occasion, and teaching an initiation until it
would kindle such a mutual and mortal hatred
as to render a separation preferable to eternal
discord." We would call all honest and hon-
orable whigs to look at the late acts and the
present aspect of their party, all disappointed
and exasperated on the slavery question, and then
ask themselves whether they can in honor, as-
sociate with such a party?

In a letter dated September 20, 1848, Mr.
Clay said that the Philadelphia convention that
nominated Gen. Taylor, "humiliated itself, and
as far as it could, placed the whig party in a
degraded condition." It did so, he tells us, by
nominating for the Presidency a man, who,
though professing to be a whig, "was involved
in the drapery of qualifications and condi-
tions, that it was extremely difficult to dis-
cern his real politics." Of General Taylor, he
spoke as follows: "Exclusively a military man,
without the least experience in civil affairs;
bred up and always living in the camp, with
his sword by his side and his cap on his head,
it is proposed to transfer him from the
actual position of second in command of
the army, to the Chief Magistracy of this great
and model republic. If I cannot come out in
the active support of such a candidate, I hope
those who know any thing of my opinions, dis-
tinctly and loudly, will express their dissent
to his nomination."

At the close of an article in Thursday's
paper, we said that Mr. Clay clearly saw, in
1850, that the whig party was about to be aban-
doned, and that he sounded the alarm like an
honest man, and a sincere friend to the
South and to the Union. We gave an extract
from his speech in Frankfort, in 1850, in which
he avowed his determination to quit the whig
party if the event anticipated should happen.
It did happen. The whig party was, in effect,
abandoned. For, in due time, Seward and
his higher law faction in the States of New
York, Pennsylvania, and Ohio, brought forward
Gen. Scott, as their candidate for the Presi-
dency, in opposition to Fillmore and Webster,
who, in consequence of their devotion to the
Compromise and the Union, are looked to as
candidates for that office by the whole whig
party South, and by all the Union whigs of the
North.

About this time, Gen. Scott was written to
for his opinions upon the great questions pend-
ing before the country, but he declined giving
any, for fear he said, of "disgusting the public
by mere party professions."

This was indeed a trying time. The compro-
mise was undying an ordeal before the Ameri-
can people, and it was expected and demand-
ed that its friends every where should speak out
in plain and decided terms. Mr. Fillmore and
Mr. Webster, Gen. Scott's competitors for the
whig nomination, were, by both word and act,
committed in favor of the compromise in all
its parts, and the abolitionists were down upon
them. Gen. Scott would not hazard the loss of
his friendship and support by speaking out
on the fugitive slave bill. Things were in this
state when the whig convention met at
Baltimore on the 16th of June. Then the
whole of the anti-compromise whigs of the
North, making a great majority of the Northern
whigs, were seen voting, day after day, in solid
phalanx, for Scott; while every Southern whig
and every Union whig from the North, voted
through fifty three consecutive ballottings, for
either Fillmore or Webster; until, at length,
a few of the Southern delegates, proving false
to their principle, to their section, and to the
Union, went over the Sewardites and gave
Scott the nomination upon the assurance, as
they pretended, that the General, after being
nominated, would write a letter and come out
fully and unequivocally on the compromise.

That letter has never been written by General
Scott, and no one expects it ever will be. The
convention upon its nomination, adopted
resolutions approving the compromise as a
settlement of the slavery question. But Gen-
eral Scott, in accepting the nomination, takes
special care not to approve the platform. He
says, "I accept the nomination with the resolu-
tions annexed." Not a word of approval is
about approving the platform. Pierce, King,
and Graham were all particular to use the word
"approve," while Gen. Scott avoided it. His
accepting the nomination with the resolutions
annexed, simply meant, that he takes it with
the compromise, as a man would take a piece
of land under mortgage. He took the nomina-
tion with the resolutions annexed, because he
could not get it without. Does any one doubt
this? Look at the course of his chief support-
ers. They say they despise and spit upon the
platform. They have got the nomination, and
they are nothing for the platform. It was, at
best, but a contrivance to gain and deceive the
Southern delegates.

The Sewardites claim an anti-slavery triumph
in the nomination of Gen. Scott. They say
their purpose to repeal the fugitive slave law,
and while they say (see Theodore Stephen's
speech) that the friends of the fugitive bill
"can rely most implicitly upon General Pierce"
for the exercise of the veto in favor of main-
taining the fugitive slave law, they say that the
South "cannot rely upon General Scott." They
tell us that "the present position of General
Scott is antagonistic to the further extension
of slavery," and, on the other hand, that "a
lot of Pierce's votes in Congress, and all his pub-
lic acts every where proclaim him the champion
of slavery." Now what does all this amount
to? If, in the absence of any thing, even a
single word from Gen. Scott's pen, approving the
fugitive slave law or the compromise, we look
at the views, the opinions and the purposes of
those who nominated him, and who are now
his most zealous supporters, if there is truth in
the edge, "show us his company and we will
tell you the man," then General Scott sym-
patizes with the anti-slavery party of the United
States; is their candidate for the Presidency;
and is, and will be, subservient to their designs,
and ought not, therefore, to receive the vote of
any democrat, nor that of a single pro-slavery
or Union whig.

A considerable portion of the latter may vote
for him through ignorance of his political opin-
ions and associations, or from that old and ac-
tivated hostility to the democrats. But there are
not a few among the reading, reflecting, and
truly independent portion of the old whig party,
who will do, as there can be no doubt Mr.
Clay would have done had he lived; that is,
not only withhold their support from Gen.
Scott, but give both their votes and their influ-
ence to Pierce—a man wholly unconnected with
himself and feelings, and who has never bowed
the knee to the Basil of abolition.

We repeat it, the whig party is no more.
There was such a party once. It was a nation-
al party, and as such, it was considered a re-
spectable party. But now it has ceased to be
a national organization, and has degenerated
"into a contemptible abolition party." It has
lost its character, and it should change its name.

What but the abolishing of the party, could
drive off from it this fast whig as Clay,
Webster, Choate, Gentry, Williams, Toombs,
Stephens, of Georgia; Cabell, Faulkner, Jen-
sen, of Ohio; Outlaw, Marshall, Lyon, and
hundreds of others, who were once leaders of
greater or less distinction, and who have been
known before, of a great party, and who
seemingly in convention and those from the free
States uniting and consulting apart, and in se-

of the tertium quid party of that day, and his
political enemy? Again, would Mr. Madison have
wounded the pride of the soldier and the claims
of the statesman then presented in the city of
Andrew Jackson? In 1815 Jackson was the hero
of the war. The victory of New Orleans was
fresh in all hearts, and his General was the argu-
ment of all tongues. Would Madison have been
a great party in this country, is ominous of
evil and only evil, and any party that submits
to it, deserves to be repudiated and disowned
by every lover of the Union.

Speaking of the Missouri question in 1820,
Mr. Jefferson said: "It aroused and flamed me
with alarm. The old animosity of federal and
republican threatened because it ex-
isted in every State, and united them together
in the fratricide of party. But the col-
lusion of a geographical line, once conceived,
as with a map, principle, moral and polit-
ical, would never more be obliterated from
the mind; that would be the ruin of every
occasion, and teaching an initiation until it
would kindle such a mutual and mortal hatred
as to render a separation preferable to eternal
discord." We would call all honest and hon-
orable whigs to look at the late acts and the
present aspect of their party, all disappointed
and exasperated on the slavery question, and then
ask themselves whether they can in honor, as-
sociate with such a party?

In a letter dated September 20, 1848, Mr.
Clay said that the Philadelphia convention that
nominated Gen. Taylor, "humiliated itself, and
as far as it could, placed the whig party in a
degraded condition." It did so, he tells us, by
nominating for the Presidency a man, who,
though professing to be a whig, "was involved
in the drapery of qualifications and condi-
tions, that it was extremely difficult to dis-
cern his real politics." Of General Taylor, he
spoke as follows: "Exclusively a military man,
without the least experience in civil affairs;
bred up and always living in the camp, with
his sword by his side and his cap on his head,
it is proposed to transfer him from the
actual position of second in command of
the army, to the Chief Magistracy of this great
and model republic. If I cannot come out in
the active support of such a candidate, I hope
those who know any thing of my opinions, dis-
tinctly and loudly, will express their dissent
to his nomination."

At the close of an article in Thursday's
paper, we said that Mr. Clay clearly saw, in
1850, that the whig party was about to be aban-
doned, and that he sounded the alarm like an
honest man, and a sincere friend to the
South and to the Union. We gave an extract
from his speech in Frankfort, in 1850, in which
he avowed his determination to quit the whig
party if the event anticipated should happen.
It did happen. The whig party was, in effect,
abandoned. For, in due time, Seward and
his higher law faction in the States of New
York, Pennsylvania, and Ohio, brought forward
Gen. Scott, as their candidate for the Presi-
dency, in opposition to Fillmore and Webster,
who, in consequence of their devotion to the
Compromise and the Union, are looked to as
candidates for that office by the whole whig
party South, and by all the Union whigs of the
North.

About this time, Gen. Scott was written to
for his opinions upon the great questions pend-
ing before the country, but he declined giving
any, for fear he said, of "disgusting the public
by mere party professions."

This was indeed a trying time. The compro-
mise was undying an ordeal before the Ameri-
can people, and it was expected and demand-
ed that its friends every where should speak out
in plain and decided terms. Mr. Fillmore and
Mr. Webster, Gen. Scott's competitors for the
whig nomination, were, by both word and act,
committed in favor of the compromise in all
its parts, and the abolitionists were down upon
them. Gen. Scott would not hazard the loss of
his friendship and support by speaking out
on the fugitive slave bill. Things were in this
state when the whig convention met at
Baltimore on the 16th of June. Then the
whole of the anti-compromise whigs of the
North, making a great majority of the Northern
whigs, were seen voting, day after day, in solid
phalanx, for Scott; while every Southern whig
and every Union whig from the North, voted
through fifty three consecutive ballottings, for
either Fillmore or Webster; until, at length,
a few of the Southern delegates, proving false
to their principle, to their section, and to the
Union, went over the Sewardites and gave
Scott the nomination upon the assurance, as
they pretended, that the General, after being
nominated, would write a letter and come out
fully and unequivocally on the compromise.

That letter has never been written by General
Scott, and no one expects it ever will be. The
convention upon its nomination, adopted
resolutions approving the compromise as a
settlement of the slavery question. But Gen-
eral Scott, in accepting the nomination, takes
special care not to approve the platform. He
says, "I accept the nomination with the resolu-
tions annexed." Not a word of approval is
about approving the platform. Pierce, King,
and Graham were all particular to use the word
"approve," while Gen. Scott avoided it. His
accepting the nomination with the resolutions
annexed, simply meant, that he takes it with
the compromise, as a man would take a piece
of land under mortgage. He took the nomina-
tion with the resolutions annexed, because he
could not get it without. Does any one doubt
this? Look at the course of his chief support-
ers. They say they despise and spit upon the
platform. They have got the nomination, and
they are nothing for the platform. It was, at
best, but a contrivance to gain and deceive the
Southern delegates.

The Sewardites claim an anti-slavery triumph
in the nomination of Gen. Scott. They say
their purpose to repeal the fugitive slave law,
and while they say (see Theodore Stephen's
speech) that the friends of the fugitive bill
"can rely most implicitly upon General Pierce"
for the exercise of the veto in favor of main-
taining the fugitive slave law, they say that the
South "cannot rely upon General Scott." They
tell us that "the present position of General
Scott is antagonistic to the further extension
of slavery," and, on the other hand, that "a
lot of Pierce's votes in Congress, and all his pub-
lic acts every where proclaim him the champion
of slavery." Now what does all this amount
to? If, in the absence of any thing, even a
single word from Gen. Scott's pen, approving the
fugitive slave law or the compromise, we look

DEALER IN
SVILLE, NY.
second the interests
and having made large
superior assortment o
era making their por

streets,
Mountings,
lock of GOODS in
customers and the race
not fail to secure me
Ring Whips;
EGY do;
agon day;
agon day;

and Coach
er; also all kinds
Fourth street. 50

e, I would respectfully
 on of my friends were
 and ever before in this
 r to any manufactured
 e. Saddles is want of
 pace.
 ible. Band, and Upper
 Leather of all colors;
 re; Harness linings;
 and Oil licks; Mats;
 SS of every shape and
 Belts;
 and collars.

North side,
OFF. SMOKING
BEIDA,
AF.
STONE PIPES,
&c., &c.
except of a very exten
or the very liberal pat-

[illegible]

...time get their Car
 ...er, and as cheap as
 ...to give still satis
 ...tely, text four to the

...mp;ment and good
 ...er unker.
 ...LEY & RANPALL.

...DIN'S
 ...E FURNITURE
 ...N. Y.
 ...and Market,
 ...N. Y.
 ...thical of all kinds

...chards,
 ...Cassia,
 ...W. C. C. C.
 ...L. H. C. C.
 ...W. C. C. C.
 ...W. C. C. C.

VINNIES
 FURNITURE
 YOUNG, BERNARD
 at all times
 Mattresses and Furnishings
 Feather Beds,
 Pillows,
 Cushions,
 Blankets,
 Hair Pillows;
 Stairs, and Carpets,
 Upholstery,
 and Mattresses,
 and Carpets and Cur-
 tains and drapery, Mat-
 tresses, etc.
 L. O. VINNIE

49 1/2 S. E.,
 BILL, PROPRIETOR.
 is.
 passengers going out by
 11 o'clock
 R STORES:
 trouble's old stand, W?
 y on head a cask and
 and barn store, among

Sweet Oil,
 Vinegar,
 Cakes,
 Hides,
 Honey,
 Butter,
 Eggs,
 Potatoes,
 Sausages,
 Saturated.

Etc., etc.;
 supply the steward's
 found—
 brandy;
 do;
 do;
 do;
 do;
 do;
 do;
 do;
 (O) Gabel do;
 ira;
 Waga Wine;
 Gars and Tobacco;
 kept in Liquor Stores.
 to sell and exchange
 to sell in exchange
 competition is the rate of

..... K. CAMPBELL,
PBELL,
 uests, Commission
 erchants,
 y.
 et, between Walland
 by Alex. Paralele.
 will be promptly at-
 e of public patronage.
 :
 ad & Morris, do; Wal-
 Mudge, do; Clifton,
 McGinnis, do; Paul &
 Tawhoun & Co., do;
 Capt. J. Birmingham,
 Tenn.; Alexander &

& Price, Eastport,
 10; Col. J. H. Beach,
 10, Decatur, Compton
 10, Gunterville, &
 etc. salo

WISE.
 Soldiers,
 to the
COL-
DOES.
 tage by coming at the
 Colar Factory of
 J. R. WINTER,
 Main and River.

A C C O N D E M I
INTELLIGENT!
 AS for your horses,

R. WINTER'S
 Colar factory,

for my new stock so supplied. I am now in the city both in and the manner in which about papering and purchasing at

Super Store,
MAIN AND MARKET
H. BLAKKISON.

ND & CO.,
Canal and Railroad
Sts, Tobacco, Fresh-
fruit diff

additions have been
Paper Hangings,
Paper, & c, which

DEVEREAUX,
Main and Market,
S. by